



CCSDS Engineering Steering Group (CESG) Polling

CESG-P-2015-03-006 Approval to release CCSDS 881.1-R-1, Spacecraft Onboard Interface Services—RFID Tag Encoding Specification (Red Book, Issue 1) for CCSDS Agency review



Select a View

All Responses

Actions

- Alert me
- Export to spreadsheet
- Modify settings and columns

Attachment(s) http://public.ccsds.org/sites/cwe/cesg/polls/Attachments/881x1r0_CESG_Approval.pdf

Question The CCSDS Engineering Steering Group:

CONSIDERING that the SOIS/Onboard Wireless Working Group has completed work on a proposed draft Recommended Standard for Spacecraft Onboard Interface Services—RFID Tag Encoding Specification;

and ACKNOWLEDGING that the Spacecraft Onboard Interface Services Area recommends that the document be released for CCSDS Agency review as a Red Book (SOIS-WIR WG-R-2015-03);

APPROVES release of CCSDS 881.1-R-1, Spacecraft Onboard Interface Services—RFID Tag Encoding Specification (Red Book, Issue 1) for CCSDS Agency review.

You Are?	Created By	Response	State Conditions	Modified	Edit
Count = 8					
CSS AD	Barkley Erik	APPROVE WITH CONDITIONS (state conditions that must be satisfied)	Condition 1: For this type of recommendation an ICS should be stated to help ensure a good agency review -- it will be useful to know what is mandatory and what is optional in the ID (probably all of it but there is no direct statement of that) Condition 2: it will be very helpful for agency reviews to know what the policy is for assigning database IDs in SANA -- note that claiming the SANA Registry as the administrator is insufficient as current CCSDS practice is that the recommendation that defines a registry must, necessarily, identify its management policy.	4/15/2015 9:17 PM	
MOIMS AD	Merri Mario	ABSTAIN (does not affect my Area)		4/7/2015 6:23 PM	
MOIMS DAD	Behal Brigitte	ABSTAIN (does not affect my Area)		4/13/2015 1:33 PM	
SIS AD	Scott Keith	APPROVE UNCONDITIONALLY	I'm willing to let this go through to Agency Review, but the 'To Be Supplied' sections in the document (PICS, Patent Considerations) are questionable. I think the CESG should discuss whether such (admittedly slightly) incomplete documents should be put out for Agency Review.	4/12/2015 9:41 AM	
SLS AD	Calzolari Gian Paolo	ABSTAIN (does not affect my Area)		3/30/2015 4:47 PM	
SOIS AD	Suess Martin	APPROVE UNCONDITIONALLY		4/3/2015 7:33 AM	
SOIS DAD	Barton Richard	APPROVE UNCONDITIONALLY		4/2/2015 2:31 PM	
SEA AD	Shames Peter	APPROVE WITH CONDITIONS (state conditions that must be satisfied)	This document still needs some work, particularly in the areas relating to the use of SANA and the guidance to the SANA operator. The data structure is also probably more awkward than it needs to be, but that appears to be a legacy limitation. please see the attached mark-up.	4/9/2015 8:05 PM	