# CCSM Teleconference Notes, 04 September 2024

# Attendees

E. Barkley, W. Eddy, M. Gnat, H. Kelliher, M. Unal

# Agenda and notes

## General Announcements

1. New CESG Chair voted on by CMC – will be Daniel Fischer, starting January 2025
2. New MOIMS DAD confirmed – Stefan Gartner (DLR)
3. Request for nominees will be initiated soon for replacement of SE AD (P. Shames retiring)
4. Book Boss determination for CDDS WG – so far Jason Liao has agreed, provided there is good help
5. Time Code formats pink sheets out for formal agency review

## Action Items Check

1. 1 action item(s) closed
2. 1 action item(s) postponed
3. 0 new action item(s)
4. 10 action items open
5. See updated spreadsheet for details
6. Notes/selected AI status:
   1. 240502-02: Outline for SMASH underway; will be released for selective review by end of September
   2. 240702-02: M. Gnat and W. Eddy report having looked service agreement UML class diagram; M. Gnat reports that work is on going at DLR to compare class diagram to local service agreement information; Agreed to allow ~1 month to complete

## Fall Meetings Planning

1. Walked through the planning presentation (see pptx file embedded immediately below)
2. 
3. Planning discussion:
   1. Need to add SACP book review and project planning sessions
   2. Would be good to add final publication check re SMURF and SPDF
   3. A session on CSSM tooling and/or tooling boot camp would be good to add (this might be something of an area-wide considerations – see AOB below)

## Draft Simplified Referential Framework Diagram

1. Walked through the draft simplified diagram/presentation (see pptx file embedded immediately below)
2. 
3. Discussion:
   1. Agreed that the diagram could be split into two separate diagrams – one focusing more on SMURF and another focusing more on SPDF
   2. the two diagrams could be useful for the revised concept book
   3. it may be worthwhile to diagrammatically or at least with text indicate that the event sequence and the DOR scan pattern are optional

## AOB

1. FRM tooling:
2. We have an understanding that configuration level information/annotation can be added to the functional resource parameter definitions in the FRM but there is no agreed-upon effort to see that this happens
   1. (for reference, recall that we had an initial spreadsheet indicating at which level a particular parameter from the FRM is configurable in a managed service context – not at all by a service user, at the level of the service agreement, at the level of configuration profile, on a per tracking pass basis, during a tracking pass)
3. We should work to get this formally underway
4. Additionally, it would be good to have an ability to generate an excel spreadsheet of the FRM for analysis purpose (e.g., allow filtering regarding configurable versus not, the different strata, etc.)

## Next Teleconference

Our next teleconference is scheduled for October 1.