# CCSM Telecon/Webex, 08 October 2019

# Attendees

E. Barkley, C. Ciocirlan, A. Crowson, W. Eddy, M. Gnat, H. Kelliher, J. Pietras

# Agenda

## General Announcements

1. Service Management – Common Data Entities is out for agency review; very few RID inputs so far (?)
2. PIF and TGFT still in CCSDS CESG polling for agency review
3. Registration for Fall 2019 meetings will close soon – likely this week

## Action Items Check

1. No change to current actions
2. New AI to E. Barkley to look into forward file service (see SPDF discussion below)

## AD Review Status

1. Done
   1. Abstract Event
   2. Common Data Entities
   3. PIF
   4. TGFT
   5. SPDF
2. To Do
   1. SMURF

## Abstract Event Defintion Agency Review Conclusion

1. One minor RID from ESA
2. Two minor RIDs from NASA

## PIF Prototyping Status Check

1. E. Barkley + A. Crowson to research for alternative mission to assist with cross-checking (given that STEREO-A is no longer a viable candidate) – will be decided at Darmstadt meetings
2. E. Barkley noted that JPL prototype effort is close to being completed

## SPDF follow-up

1. W. Eddy presented questions as a result of AD Review for WG discussion
   1. Re how to deal with forward off-line service
      1. AI to E. Barkley to look into if agencies are serious about offering this for cross support; is this really urgent if general direction is which essentially already supports the notions of a forward off-line service by definition
      2. Noted that this has bearing on the SMURF, SPDF, SACP, and Concept books
   2. with regard to parameter definitions that have some aspect in management service (for example service package identifiers being unique) we agreed to put this material in an informative annex for the time being
      1. we will also have follow-up discussion at the Darmstadt meetings with regard to future planning for best practices book, management service, etc.
   3. Agreed to keep “XML” in the naming of TimingXmlExtention and ConfigurationXmlExtention
      1. even if the “payload” data is not formatted in XML, it will be still be wrapped in XML and so the naming is technically okay
   4. agreed to change the name of the main service package “payload” to be “ServicePkgBody” for consistency with respect to “ServicePkgHeader”
2. W. Eddy indicated that updated SPDF will be available for the Darmstadt meetings

## Service Agreement/Configuration Profile Concept Review

1. M. Gnat walked us through the updated SACP concept
   1. the question of where the “service profile” “lives” in terms of a maintained formal entity was brought up -- possible solutions noted were as an annex for the SACP book or possibly as some yet TBD/TBC concept book dealing with service agreement/configuration profiles
   2. noted that agency specific functionalities can be done in the same manner as done for the monitor data -- we generally assume that the agency specific parameters will fall in line with the general functional resource model and that in those cases where it does not the end users will figure out how to fit it into the functional resource model as best as possible

## Fall Meetings Planning

1. reviewed the draft agenda for the upcoming fall 2019 meetings -- no changes to the agenda at this time

## AOB (none)

# Next Telecon

Schedule will be set as a result of Fall 2019 Meetings