# CCSM Telecon/Webex, 15 January 2019

# Attendees

E. Barkley, T. Bui, C. Ciocirlan, A. Crowson, M. Gnat, C. Haddow, H. Kelliher, J. Pietras, M. Preuss

# Agenda

## General Announcement

1. CMC Secretary has retired – no replacement known at this time
2. I will likely be away from work 15 Feb – 15 Mar – I will likely miss 26 Feb telecon

## Action Items Check

1. 4 action items have been closed
2. No new items opened
3. Currently 13 open action items

## TGFT Book Updates (Please see J. Pietras’ email of Dec 14th: “action item 2018-1211-01, Clarify constraints on presence of payload and metadata files in an XFDU packages”)

1. Most of the WG membership is okay with the changes suggested by J. Pietras
2. C. Ciocirlan “found” an email sent by S. Lacourte which, unfortunately, did not make it through the listserver for whatever reason
   1. J. Pietras to look at the email and provide further inputs/responses by the next teleconference

## Abstract Event Updates (Please see C. Haddow’s email of Dec 14th: “902x13r0\_02 - Abstract Event Definition - Updated draft” and subsequent emails)

1. In reference to one of E. Barkley’s comments about lack of event naming, noted that in fact it is the “using” or instantiating class that provides the event name
2. Agreed to remove “user” as part of SANA registry consideration – given that this is an abstract class definition, we cannot say, in this book, how the user parameter is registered; this will be up to the recommendations that make use of the Abstract Event Definition

## Common Data Entities Updates (Please see C. Haddow’s email of Dec 14th : “902x12r0\_02 - Service Management Common Data Entities - Updated draft” and subsequent emails)

1. Agreed on revising the “purpose” parameter definition (service mgmt header) as current description involves discussion in relation to status parameter which has been delegated to the “downstream” recommendations (i.e., PIF, SPFDF, etc)
2. Agreed that a simple code list can be supplied for the planning types (rather than refereeing to 8 and 7 – also, reference 7 being to a Green book is not normative)
3. Agreed that this book can be the “home” for stating a convention for labeling of unregistered values; using/downstream recommendations will cite the convention in the CDE book

## Planning Information Format Updates (Please see C. Haddow’s email of Dec 14th: 902x2-w0\_20 - Planning Information Formats - Updated draft” and subsequent emails)

1. Agreed that there is nothing stopping usage of the ApertureCelestialBodiePosition for being used for a mobile and/or hypothetical tracking station based on earth
2. Agreed that schema for PIF needs to be indicated as registered – just how this is done, given the new schema organization is TBD at this time
3. Agreed that “SchedulePublisher” role needs to be stated as something else – current suggestions include “SpaceLinkPlanner” -- other suggestions are welcome
4. E. Barkley agreed to provide verbiage for user parameter description, given that this is context dependent (on earth vs celestial-body-other than earth)

## PIF Prototyping Check

1. NASA-JPL prototype test team to take a look at the Test Case 2 items assigned to NASA and to start work on executing these
2. E. Barkley agreed to send an updated prototyping results recording spreadsheet to ESA colleagues

## AOB

1. Abstract parameters and SPDF
   1. This was discussed as part of the abstract events and common header reviews
   2. Agreed not to change the current definition of FR parameter which is based on the abstract parameter definition
      1. Rationale is that the FrName identifies the resource and the name identifies the functional resource parameter being modified (in turn this is in relation to the modifiedParameter of the SMURF and SPDF)
      2. C. Haddow indicated that he will further coordinate with W. Eddy to ensure that definition/description/usage is consistent between the SMRUF and SPDF

# Next Telecon

Our next teleconference is scheduled for February 5th 2019.