# CCSM Telecon/Webex, 11 December 2018

# Attendees

E. Barkley, T. Bui, A. Crowson, C. Ciocirlan, W. Eddy, M. Gnat, C. Haddow, H. Kelliher, S. Lacourte, H. Li, J. Pietras

# Agenda (as adjusted at the Telecon)

## TGFT Prototyping Discussion

1. We had discussion as to how much of a service TGFT is to be considered; ultimately we agreed that current scoping is okay; C. Haddow to consider adding a note to the specification that error handling is outside the scope of the document and/or is handled via means out the scope of this document
	* 1. This is predicated on recognition that TGFT is not an implementation and/or service but rather a protocol upon which service can be based
2. With agreed upon understanding in a) we agreed that current test plan has in fact operated correctly in that it has caught an error in XFDU construction as specified in the draft white book
3. In fact the testing, by catching the error can be claimed to have demonstrated feasibility for “higher-level” implementations/applications/services
4. We discussed further inputs from S. Lacourte re potential ambiguities in the specification
	1. J. Pietras agreed to an action to further analyze this and report back
	2. Noted that if there are changes to the specification based on the to-be-supplied analysis by J. Pietras that C. Ciocirlan may have to update the test plan
	3. All parities (CNES, NSSC) indicated that restarting of prototyping in January 2019 will be okay

## General Announcements

1. CMC Chair has announced his retirement; no word at this time as to replacement

## SPDF WG Review 2 comments (Please see W. Eddy’s email of 22 Nov)

1. Some review comments received – specifically port Id and service package state/status/use
2. Agreed to go with updated state/use information for the recommendation
3. WG internal review extended to 15 January 2019

## PIF Prototype test plan and interoperations testing

1. Walked through the draft test plan, highlighting the success criteria for the various test cases and outlining the procedures involved
	1. generally seems to be okay
2. Checked the overall timeline given the draft test plan and concluded that the timeline is reasonable
3. E. Barkley agreed to update the timeline diagram to be consistent with the test cases described in the test plan
4. C. Haddow plans to have minor schema updates for the PIF (change in occultation enumerations) by the end of this week

## Action Items Check

1. One AI closed, another opened – 17 actions currently open
2. See latest spreadsheet

## AOB

1. Port Ids
	1. the essential consideration is for use of fixed ported identifiers versus dynamically assigned; J. Pietras is currently going through the various books to look at the implications for supporting both approaches which is the current WG position

# Next Telecon

Our next teleconference is scheduled for January 15th 2019.