# CCSM Telecon/Webex, 10 July 2018

# Attendees

E. Barkley, A. Crowson, M. Gnat, C. Haddow, J. Pietras

# Agenda/Notes (as adjusted at the telecon)

## General Announcements

1. SSF XML Schemas now listed as official on SANA Registry
2. SANA SS&A Priorities information submitted to SANA operator
3. Spring 19 meetings confirmed to be May 6 – 9 (four day mtg) at AMES (NASA facility, about 1 hour south of San Francisco; 30 minutes south of SFO)
4. Abstract Event Definition and Common Data Entities projects have been approved
5. PIF Splinter telecon held on 26 June – prototype plan agreed to with general goal of completing by late October

## Action Item Status/Project status checks

1. A couple of action items closed
2. Some actions cannot have their status updated to actionee not on telecon
3. Several actions with adjusted due dates
4. One new action opened (post telecon – see below re Scenario Id)

## TGFT Book and Prototype Status Updates

1. Okay to consider the latest draft posted by J. Pietras (see email of July 3) as the start of the 2nd draft comment period?
   1. J. Pietras notes that there are still several comments in the draft that have not been addressed
      1. C. Haddow to coordinate telecon to address the comments
2. Any updates from C. Ciocirlan, C. Haddow, L. Hu or others?
   1. No further updates at this time

## Service Package Book Comments/1st WG draft review conclusion

1. Follow-up – W. Eddy and J. Pietras were to meet…
   1. No further updates at this time

## Configuration Profile Technote comments/review conclusion

1. J. Pietras provided the “10 minute” (actually 9 minutes) walk through
2. Key considerations
   1. The service agreement as a collection of configuration profiles generally seems to be working out okay
   2. Further progress with regard to multiservice configuration profiles noted
   3. new service agreement persistent information has been added (section 9 of the updated note)
      1. this includes aperture information, terrestrial data transfer ports, off-line data (data storage) (concerns
3. Please see presentation uploaded to the CWE

## Updated document diagram quick check

1. noted that this was essentially complete at the last teleconference

## Updated PIF walk through

1. C. Haddow highlighted the changed information which is essentially for the off Earth aperture information added to the class diagram
   1. Noted that E. Barkley still owes some inputs re Mars use case (cf AI 2017-0808-01)

## Work plan check (not addressed)

## AOB

1. Scenario Ids
   1. M. Gnat walked through presentation
   2. Key points include
      1. Scenario can be identified in SMURF (request) and SP (result)
      2. But this requires use of multiple identifiers
      3. No explicit identification of prime/default scenario for execution
      4. No clear way to add a new scenario
   3. Subsequent discussion was along the lines of compare contrast versus Blue-1 where all of the scenarios are bundled under the main service package identifier versus data format specifications that can be adopted piecemeal
   4. a possible solution of having a separate request for association of scenarios was proposed by C. Haddow
   5. agreed that item needs further analysis and ideally this should be concluded by the time of the October meetings
      1. See AI 2018-0710-01
2. SMURF Test Plan first look (not addressed)
3. Event sequence state transitions and FRM
   1. Walked through presentation sent by E. Barkley on 9 July 2018
   2. Main conclusion noted is that the FRM found in the SANA FR registry needs to be read “properly”
      1. Despite verbiage indicating “reports” which leads to a conclusion that a FR is for monitoring only, there is also a “configured” portion if you scroll to the proper part of the page
         1. The current “xxxContrParam” is in fact a structured item capable of addressing the “configured” items
   3. Given this conclusion, the current analysis will be revisited
      1. Main difference is that monitor data items will not offered just a reference but in fact are the start of the “directives”
   4. In general, it appears that we are seeing alignment emerge re Event sequences and FRM

# Next Telecon

Our next teleconference is scheduled for July 31st.