# CCSM Telecon/Webex, 28 February 2017

# Attendees

E. Barkley, C. Ciocirlan, A. Crowson, M. Gnat, C. Haddow, H. Kelliher, J. Pietras, Y. Wang, L. Yurong,

# Agenda/Notes

## General announcements

1. Schedule Book submitted to secretariat
2. FRM teleconference held
3. Draft OMG RFP

## Action Items Review/Updates (not addressed at telecon)

## Mid-term WG plan check

1. Updated various completion status, verified and/or modified various completion dates
2. Noted that TGFT prototype test plan will likely have a focus on construction/generation of XFDUs
	1. C. Haddow noted that CNES, at one point had an XFDU library – further checking to be done
3. Service Package book discussion
	1. Noted that issue of configuration profiles still needs work – particularly re service specific (“individual”) profiles, aggregate profiles, and relation to event sequences (which involves multiple services)
	2. J. Chamoun agreed to organize further discussion for the March 13th telecon.
4. Please see revised diagram with updated dates and status below

## Any comments on XFDU approach

1. No comments provided
2. J. Pietras will release the proposed approach today
3. Discussed example in relation to delivery of radiometric data as TDM in XML format
	1. Question of how much meta-data to bundle with TDM payload
		1. URL to official schema and sub-schemas
		2. Schemas themselves
		3. Conclusion is that URL will be sufficient

## PIF Prototype inter-operation timeline check/update

1. Agreed that for ExoMars, TGO S/C can be used
2. Action to E. Barkley to pick/propose one of the STEREO spacecraft

## SMURF Test Plan status check

1. Reviewed M. Gnat’s test plan outline presentation
	1. Appears that there may be many test cases (~50 – 60)
	2. Noted that test has to sufficiently cover SMURF features by rules of CCSDS
		1. But some judgement can be appliled – e.g, a submission request test case is likely to be sufficiently verified by submitting trajectory data that a test case for submission of configuration profiles is not necessarily called for
	3. Noted that the SMURF testing may be more akin to a test bed approach as various recommendations that require a request to be tested will likely emerge after the Smurf book has been written
	4. also noted that this has a bearing on the test plan for the eventual management service

## Service Catalog review inputs (assuming we have a quorum)

1. agreed to walk through the comments at the March 13 teleconference

## CWE re-structuring status (not addressed)

## AOB

1. March 20th telecon -- agreed to reschedule for March 13th.

# Next Telecon

Our next teleconference is scheduled for March 13.

Updated work plan



[end notes]