# CCSM Telecon/Webex, 06 Dec 2016

# Attendees

E. Barkley, J. Chamoun, A. Crowson, H. Dreihahn, M. Gnat, C. Haddow, J. Pietras, K. Tuttle, Y. Wang, U. Muller-Wilm, L. Yurong,

# Agenda/Notes

1. Notes from latest CESG telecon (and other updates)
   1. Functional resource model presented to CESG
      1. Follow up for key WG Chairs – Webex in February
      2. CSS Area hosted meeting in San Antonio; allow 2 hours
   2. OMG SDTF/CCSDS overlap
      1. OMG GEMS vs CSS Functional resource model
      2. E. Barkley to assess and provide feedback to M. Merri (CCSDS OMG liaison)
   3. SANA registry beta release, consistent with RMP; E. Barkley to provide input for sites in apertures registry with regard to DSN identifications; C. Haddow, J. Chamoun, and M. Gnat indicated they could do the same with regard to ESA, NASA-NEN/SN, and DLR apertures
2. Action Items Review/Updates
   1. clarified that M. Gnat is in fact taking over the action item for assessment of the service agreement and configuration profile extensibility relative to the concept from A. Crowson
   2. other miscellaneous action item due date updates etc. – please see the updated spreadsheet
3. Schedule book update – appears we are nearly done with this
   1. based on the input received from the navigation working group with respect to event definition, the abstract parameter (for expressing additional parameters) now has an option for additional parameter in CCSDS time code A format
   2. there was also discussion with regard to the duration as part of the abstract parameter definition (and therefore as part of the “simple” extensibility set of parameters)
      1. E Barkley make an assessment as to the language around the additional parameters to see if further guidance is needed with regard to implementations as to the requirements for processing additional information when stated in the simple format
4. Input from NAV WG re event definition
   1. Time Epochs, time systems, and the ability for relative time or the two major items in the requirements for the navigation working group.
   2. Proposed approach for the planning information format (assuming that we take on board the navigation working group recommendation) is to have a default time system, default epoch, and fixed absolute time references (rather than relative references).
   3. Further follow-up required from the navigation work with regard to the list of suitable epochs
5. Planning information format prototype discussion
   1. use of the CWE with notifications for posting of file exchange was discussed
      1. the current CWE machinery unfortunately provides notifications for posting of files within the entire CWE tree and the notifications cannot be restricted to a particular directory
      2. in general, despite this rather clumsy set of notifications it was still deemed as an interesting approach to pursue as it has the potential to help automate the logging and provide an on-the-fly audit trail
      3. E Barkley also to provide a copy of the cloud prototype study done by the CESG to the working group
6. AOB
   1. a request for the latest versions of SMURF, etc, especially their class diagrams do made available
   2. C. Haddow agreed to do so

# Next Telecon

Our next telecon is scheduled for January 17, 2017.

[end notes]