**02 June 2015 Teleconference Notes**

Attendees:

E. Barkley

C. Ciocirlan

K. Costello

J. Chamoun

A. Crowson

C. Haddow

J. Pietras

P. Pechkam

K. Tuttle

Agenda (as adjusted at the telecon)

1. Action items review
2. Getting SoS out the door – esp SANA registries section
3. Planning Book prototype test cases (assuming Marcin is able to join us)
4. Updated inter-recommendation tracking spreadsheet (assuming Karen is able to join us)
5. Review of DDOR  CSSM information entities
6. Assessment of status and updates re March  November work plan (see image below)
7. AOB
	1. Abstract Request Engineering

Discussion Summary:

1. Action items review:
	1. Re AI 2015-0327-1 (Provide HK with each agency’s Service Catalog or similar information), a reminder to WG membership that action is overdue; please provide any inputs as soon as possible.
2. Getting SoS out the door – esp SANA registries section
	1. Briefly reviewed the current situation and where CCSDS SANA registry policy is going
	2. Looks like origination organization and user (mostly spacecraft id) can be readily accommodated per new policy direction (see notes from last telecon)
	3. Looked at ground station/antenna Id registry (current SoS v SANA RF Assets registry)
		1. Given that current registry has restricted access, how does this work for implementations that want to reference the registry values? Will this impact adoption of the SoS recommendation?
		2. Does the RF asset registry cover definition of relay assets (Ed. note: does not appear to do so)
		3. Somewhat tangentially but to keep in mind – what if a facility needs to referenced but it does not have any RF comm assets -- should there be a “parent” facilities type registry (consider that DDOR correlator does not have to reside in a facility with antennas) – maybe more of an issue for CSTS recommendations
		4. Note that data playback is part of the activities that SoS is scoped to address – is an antenna ID to be associated with these scheduled items? This gets back to facility registry question.
		5. Re hierarchy indicated re Ground stations 🡪 1..\* antennas, noted that if all antennas are uniquely identified, ground stations no longer needed
			1. Changes to recommendation and schema will be needed for this approach
	4. Action to EB to provided updated text for SANA section of SoS
3. Planning Book prototype test cases – deferred
4. Updated inter-recommendation tracking spreadsheet
	1. Stepped through each of the spreadsheet tabs and updated and/or made notes for subsequent updates
		1. Agreed no schema tab needed – that this can be dealt with properly via SANA registry (using NDM XmlSchema registry as an example)
		2. Information entity names can be added to the registred items tab rather than registried items being added to the information entities tab
		3. Utility of having all the models available for scrolling through spreadsheet tab vs keeping all models in magic draw was debated; issues of maintaining copy in spreadsheet and multiple vs serveral magic draw files noted; to be further considered
		4. Question raised as to need for area level inter-recommendation tracking spreadsheet
			1. Already hinted at in sematic models note re SC-CSTS v CSSM-ES
		5. Agreed to put some dedicated time for review/update of tracking spreadsheet on the agenda for fall meetings
5. Review of DDOR 🡪 CSSM information entities – deferred, ran out of time
6. Assessment of status and updates re March 🡪 November work plan - deferred, ran out of time
7. AOB – abstract request engineering; splinter session arranged

Our next telecon/WebEx is scheduled for July 16, 2015

[end notes]