**27 Jan 2015 Teleconference Notes**

Attendees:

E. Barkley

K. Costello

C. Ciocirlan

A. Crowson

J. Chamoun

M. Gnat

C. Haddow

H. Kelliher

J. Pietras

P. Pechkam

J. Reinert

K. Tuttle

Agenda

1. Action Items Review
2. Finalization of RID report
3. Finalization of the SOS Book
4. Finalization for the prototype test report
5. Schema namespace decision
6. Agency RFI Inputs
7. Planning book draft review (esp communication geometry events)
8. AOB
	1. Planning request vs service package request
	2. GFT questions (per Colin AI)
	3. Standard header analysis

Discussion Summary:

1. Action Items Review
	1. A reminder, and on the critical path to getting the SOS book out the door, is to look at the standard header vs information entities preliminary analysis and provide comments etc. (See email of January 12, 2015, subject “Response to AI 2014-1209-5”)
	2. A reminder to all to look at the current planning information draft and see if 1) there are any event definitions missions and 2) provide inputs on current communications geometry even definitions
2. Finalization of RID report
	1. Reference for response on RID CSSM-050 identified
	2. Expect updated report ready for release by 30 January
3. Finalization of SOS prototype report
	1. Action still pending to E. Barkley to look at agency review R! version vs updates re RID dispositions and render an opinion as to need for prototyping
4. Finalization of SOS book
	1. Anticipate update final version, pending any update re header parameter analysis, by 30 January
5. Schema namespace decision
	1. Proposal essentially involves identifying multiple namespaces considering commonalty of schema contents (CCSDS level, Area level, particular subject level) as well as the particular “application” – ie simple schedule of services, service package request, etc
	2. Discussion ensued as to the commonality grouping as to different levels of semantics with regard to functional affinities
	3. Agreement that we do not want to attempt the level of analysis applied by point b above, but rather start with a fairly simple organization of common schema types versus the information entity being standardized
	4. Agreed that some examples utilize the proposed namespace structuring will be provided (action to E. Barkley, J. Pietras, A. Crowson)
6. RFI Inputs
	1. GSFC inputs provided
		1. Currently SN has formalized predictions but these are planned to be improved upon for SN upgrades; types of RFI reports noted include Solar RFI, mutual interference (two more platforms) and multipath RFI (echos/reflections)
		2. RFI reports produced after scheduling has been done
		3. Action to JP Chamoun to upload presentation to planning book folder on CWE
	2. JPL DSN has no formal RFI products – RFI avoidance factored into scheduling
	3. A reminder that DLR inputs were delivered at the London meetings – no formal RFI products
	4. For CNES no formal RFI products identified (yet) but analysis is done after communication geometry is determined
7. AOB – not addressed – another reminder to look at standard header analysis

The next telecon is schedule for 10 February 2015.

[end notes]