**13 August 2014 Teleconference Notes**

Attendees:

E. Barkley

A. Crowson

J. Chamoun

M. Gnat

C. Haddow

P. Pechkam

J. Pietras

J. Reinert

K. Tuttle

Agenda

1. Action Items review
2. WG inputs re schedule of services test report
3. Updates/progress reports on CESG poll condition removals
	1. Inputs, if any on service component terminology
4. Review/discuss of trajectory prediction extensibility model
5. Review/discuss inter-recommendations concerns/approach
6. Further discussion on service package request components (left over from last telecom)
7. Update on planning information draft, if any
8. Update on GFT concept, if any
9. Planning for London Meetings
10. Other Items you would like to address

Discussion Summary:

1. Action Items Review
	1. A special request to the WG membership to review the Simple Schedule of Services test report prior to the next telecon -- http://cwe.ccsds.org/css/docs/CSS-SM/CWE%20Private/Schedule%20Format%20-%20xxx%20Book/Prototype%20Test%20Plan%20-%20Drafts/SSFS-YB-902%200-Y-05-20140602.docx
	2. A special request to the WG membership to review and comment on the Generic File Transfer concept -- http://cwe.ccsds.org/css/docs/Work%20Completed%20(Closed%20WGs)/Generic%20File%20Transfer%20Birds%20of%20a%20Feather/Draft%20Documents/Concept%20Book/File%20Transfer%20Concept%20NNNxNN-g0.02.doc
2. WG Input re schedule of services test report
	1. No substantial inputs – WG is requested to take a look and comment
		1. (Thanks to JP Chamoun for email of comments during telecon)
3. Removal of CESG poll conditions for the simple schedule of services agency review and concept book
	1. Simple Schedule Recommendation has been updated per CESG conditions
		1. E. Barkley to request poll condition removals from ADs with conditions
	2. No objections to the use of “Service Component” terminology for resolving Green Book poll condition
4. Trajectory Prediction extensibility model
	1. Agreed that the data format is the major extensibility aspect of the trajectory prediction
	2. Agreed that the version number should be added to the metadata of the trajectory prediction
	3. the discussion of multiple reference centers was brought up – IE with regard to a trajectory being provided which is in reference to a celestial body that is not earth and then the subsequent translation to an earth centered trajectory for proper antenna pointing etc.
		1. action to E. Barkley to check how the NDM handles this type of situation
		2. similarly, a check with regard to ephemeris grade metadata needs to be performed (action E. Barkley)
5. Review/discussion re inter-recommendations concerns
	1. Generally agreed that this is the right set of concerns
	2. with regard to the reference model it seems like a general piece of metadata will likely be to keep track of the version numbers of the information entity definitions
6. Service request component write-up – Not addressed/deferred
7. Follow-up on planning information draft – Not addressed/deferred
8. Update re GFT concept – not addressed/deferred – WG membership requested to review for next telecon
9. London Meetings Planning
	1. It appears that there may be an MO planning session re planning service at London meetings;
		1. Interim telecon with SM+C and a subset of WG planned for prior to London meetings.

The next telecon is schedule for 26 August.

[end notes]