

# Using SPACE-SAT to find vulnerabilities in SDLS implementations

---

**Antonin Boulnois, Antonios Atlasis**  
System Security Section  
End-to-End Systems Division  
Directorate of *Technology, Engineering and Quality*

04/11/2024

# What is SPACE-SAT

## Space Protocol Analysis, CCSDS & ECSS Security Assessment Toolset:

- A Python toolset that implements various CCSDS and ECSS protocols (including SDLS and SDLS EP)
- It also includes a Wireshark dissector
- It can be used as a security assessment tool for CCSDS protocols implementations
- It includes fuzzing capabilities and already implements some attacks (esp. cryptographic related).
- It implements Ground Segment functionality and stateful operation.
- It was used for organising the first spacecraft specific ESA CTF (Capture the Flag) last May.
- More protocols will be added
- It was used with NASA cryptolib to validate its correct functionality. NASA cryptolib was also the first implementation to be used for security assessment purposes. The discussion to follow provides only for increasing awareness on potential issues and demonstrate the value of security assessment as part of the process.
- The work to be presented was performed by ESA Young Graduate Trainee **Antonin Boulnois** at ESA TEC labs!

# NASA Cryptolib security assessment



Affected version: NASA Cryptolib software v1.3.0 R1

The Nasa Cryptolib (focusing on SDLS) provides two main functions:

- Crypto\_[TC, TM, AOS]\_ApplySecurity: to generate a SDLS compliant frame from a valid frame
- Crypto\_[TC, TM, AOS]\_ProcessSecurity: to parse and perform security verification from an SDLS frame.

Vulnerabilities identified:

- DoS (cryptolib crash)
- Out-of-bounds memory read to bypass SDLS
- SDLS bypass without using Out-of-bounds memory read

Vulnerability disclosure:

Vulnerabilities were disclosed responsibly and they have been fixed in the two followings pull requests:

- <https://github.com/nasa/CryptoLib/pull/286> (available in the new release v1.3.1)
- <https://github.com/nasa/CryptoLib/pull/306> (available in dev branch)







# Bypassing SDLS without using the out of bounds read

SA memory management implementation by cryptolib:

1. SA CREATED: first the array containing the SA is created statically with the NUM\_SA
2. SA\_INITIALISED: then the sa\_init() set (almost) all the attributes of SAs to zero
3. SA\_CONFIGURED: finally, the sa\_configure() overwrites the SAs with the provided configuration defined in the function.

Problem: If sa\_configure() function does not overwrite the SA, the SA keeps the initialisation state.

In the default configuration, 64 SAs are initialised but only 17 are configured therefore there is 43 SAs that are initialised only but also are valid clear mode SA!

```
DEBUG - Buffer Length:13
DEBUG - File buffer size:13
DEBUG - File buffer size int:13
DEBUG - File content:
DEBUG - 002C000C00002C414243444546
Key internal interface intialized
Crypto Lib Intialized. Version 1.2.2.0

----- Crypto_TC_ProcessSecurity START -----
vcid = 0
spi = 44
DEBUG - Printing local copy of SA Entry for current SPI.
SA status:
spi = 0
sa_state = 0x0
est = 0x0
ast = 0x0
shivf_len = 0
shsnf_len = 0
shplf_len = 0
stmacf_len = 0
ecs_len = 0
ekid = 44
ek_ref = (null)
akid = 44
ak_ref = (null)
iv_len = 0
iv =
acs_len = 0
acs = 0x00
abm_len = 0
arsn_len = 0
arsnw_len = 0
arsnw = 0
Processing a TC - CLEAR!
Full IV Value from Frame and SADB (if applicable):
Full ARSN Value from Frame and SADB (if applicable):
TC PDU Calculated Length: 6
status: 0
tc pdu:
414243444546
```



# Mitigations proposed

---

1. An initialised SA should differ from a valid clear mode SA
2. The SA existence and its state (e.g OPERATIONAL) should be checked before use.
3. GCVID should be mapped to SAs (Principal of defense in depth)

Implemented patch: When initialising the SA, the state attribute is set to SA\_NONE. With the patch, a condition was added to check if the state is SA\_OPERATIONAL before use. Therefore,, initialised SAs are no longer valid Clear Mode SA.