Attendees: David Berry, Frank Dreger, Cheryl Gramling, Julie Halverson, Hideaki Hinagawa, Ralph Kahle, Alain Lamy, Jose Miguel Lozano, Brian Swinburne, Patrick Zimmerman.

Notes	Action
1. Finalize Spring Meeting Minutes	Tietion
• David indicated that the Spring 2021 minutes had not been finalized, but he would like to finalize them. Only a few comments were received since the draft was published. There was no objection to finalizing.	To David: Apply corrections, finalize minutes and send to WG
2. Featured Document: Conjunction Data Message CRM	
• The planned agenda was to continue discussion and resolution of CDM P1.0.1 review comments. However, a few WG members (including Dan, CDM Co-Editor) had indicated that they would not be able to attend, so David stated a plan to skip comments from those members. Via email, Brian suggested that, lacking these participants, "it will be difficult to make any progress today on the CDM. I would therefore propose we postpone the CDM discussion until the next meeting, is there anything else today's time slot could be used for? I am concerned that with key members missing we will just end up having to revisit items in the CRM later on as a lot of the items that have comments raised against them, even if not directly raised by the missing members, the missing members have a stake in them." Accordingly, we addressed different topics of general interest and the meeting lasted only 50 minutes instead of 2 hours. We will continue discussion and resolution of the CDM/CRM in the next telecon.	To David: Schedule CDM discussion for next telecon.
3. Action Items Status	
• Since we had not collectively updated the Action Items in the last meeting, we reviewed and updated action items. David noted that many of the updates to the SANA Registries required prior to the ODM Agency Review have been submitted to the SANA Team and a few of the easier ones have been implemented. David showed why it was not completely straightforward to implement some of our SANA requests (specifically, the MS Word table is not just plopped into the Registry, there is a coding process that must be executed).	To David: Distribute updated action items with minutes. To All: Work action items as applicable.
4. Document Status	
• The status of each document in progress was not directly reviewed. Status in the below notes is based on emails, comments from action item status, and other advisories as to progress. Documents are sorted below in alphabetical order. Action item target dates appear in the Action Items list.	To David: Report document status to Mario and Marc.

No	tes	Action
a)	ADM: The action item for technical review of P1.11 had been	To Alain and Julie:
<i>a)</i>	closed by Alain and Julie. They are working on P1.12. Alain plans	Continue development
	to submit to Julie a draft with his changes prior to his vacation.	of ADM P1.12.
10)		a : :
b)	CDM: See status on agenda item #2 above.	
	N ' ' ' D ' D C ' ' C D 1 N	agenda item #2 above.
c)	Navigation Data - Definitions and Conventions Green Book: No	None.
1)	discussion in this meeting.	T D :1 D
d)	Navigation Data Messages Overview: David confirmed with	To David: Propose new
	Patrick that this would be a good time to start an update process	project to MOIMS/AD.
	for this Overview document. David stated that he was trying to	
	figure out a way to structure the document so it does not need such	
	frequent update, but hasn't arrived at a solution.	
e)	NDM/XML Specification: No discussion in this meeting.	None
f)	NEM: No discussion in this meeting. NEM has been deprioritized	To Alain: Prepare NEM
	to allow Alain to focus on working with Julie to complete the	initial draft if feasible
	ADM. Alain thinks an initial draft could possibly be available for	given ADM priority.
	the Fall Meetings (but ADM is the priority).	
g)	ODM: The Secretariat has the draft which has been submitted for	None
	potential agency review, and it is in his queue. David showed the	
	depth of the Lead Editor queue (somewhat out of date since it was	
	last updated in early June); the queue has a very large number of	
	documents for which the next step is "CESG Ballot", i.e., he's	
	performing the document processing required to prepare these	
	documents for their respective polls. There are currently no active	
	CESG polls.	
h)	PRM: No discussion in this meeting.	None
i)	RDM: No discussion in this meeting.	None
j)	SANA: See item #3 above.	To David: Continue
		working down action
		item backlog. Focus on
		those needed for ODM
		3.0 Agency Review.
k)	TDM: No discussion in this meeting. Idea collection is still in	To Cheryl: Consider
	progress. Could there be an initial draft for the Fall Meetings?	viability of initial draft.
5.	Other Discussion	
Fa	l Meetings topics: David shared a recent email from the	Consider your options
Secretariat indicating that "CNES has been able to secure an		and please advise David
extension to the previous deadline, 15 July, for making a decision		as to your individual
about hosting the fall CCSDS meetings in-person or remotely. The		preferences. David will
new deadline is 3 September 2021 and the CMC plans to make a		aggregate the results
decision no later than 27 August after discussing the situation at		and send to Secretariat.
their midterm meeting."		
W	G's apparently do still have the option to "meet remotely	
	gardless of CCSDS's decision." David is supposed to inform the	
108	and the supposed to inform the	

Notes	Action
Secretariat if the WG is planning to meet remotely. The Secretariat	
will inform the CMC and CESG of WG preferences, and this will be	
considered when choosing to meet in-person or remotely.	
6. Next Telecons	
• 08-Sep-2021 13:00-15:00 UTC	To David: Send out
• 29-Sep-2021 13:00-15:00 UTC	meeting invitation and
• Fall 2021 Meetings at Toulouse, France 18-Oct-2021 through 22-	Webex info.
Oct-2021 (nominal dates)	