| **Page** | | **Section** | | **Line** | | **Type** | | **Comment/ Rationale** | | **Source of Comment (Name/Agency)** | | **Suggested Disposition** | | **Disposition**  **(Completed by Principal Editor)** | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| A-5 | A2.5 | |  | | te | | The table is blank. | | David S. Berry / NASA | | Eventually we need to fill this out. I agree it can be left until the content solidifies, though it should be fairly easy to fill out for the OPM, OMM, OEM. | | Will do later. | |
| 5-29-5-31 | | 6.2.9 | |  | | te | | Missing information cogent to an OD: STATION\_GEOMETRY or some other term that allows the OD message recipient to understand the tracking asset diversity in terms of geometry and measurement data. This is necessary because WRMS alone is insufficient since it may present a skewed value if the solution is based on poor geometry and won’t allow insight into RIC terms with reduced observability. | | Cheryl Gramling/NASA-GSFC | | Provide a means of optionally identifying the geometry of assets that provided data to the OD solution. This could be summarized in a GDOP-type of parameter. | | Awaiting Cheryl’s specific recommendation | |
| 5-29-5-31 | | 6.2.9 | |  | | te | | Missing information cogent to an OD: Qualifiers on the residuals on each DATA\_TYPE used in the OD are needed to provide insight into the efficacy and fidelity of the OD, especially when coupled with insight into all DATA\_TYPES and STATION\_GEOMETRY. | | Cheryl Gramling/NASA-GSFC | | Suggest adding MAX, MIN, and SIGMA (1) for the set of residuals for each DATA\_TYPE used in the OD solution as an option in the message. | | Awaiting Cheryl’s specific recommendation | |
| E-1 | | E1 | |  | | te | | In the various equations, rTarget does not appear to be used. | | David S. Berry / NASA | | If it is not used, it should be removed from the "Definitions". | | Awaiting inputs from Pat North | |
| E-1 | | E1 | |  | | te | | In the equation of Etarget , the angle (in Atmosphere()) is not defined; it's not mentioned in the definition of Atmosphere | | David S. Berry / NASA | | Provide definition if it's used, or remove from equation if it's not. | | Awaiting inputs from Pat North | |
| E-2 | | E1 | |  | | ed/te | | In the paragraph starting with "From the above equations...", it's not clear how the substitutions into the equation for VMabsolute are correct. The equation for VMabsolute is not provided. | | David S. Berry / NASA | | Provide equation for VM absolute based on the Definitions provided in this section. | | Awaiting inputs from Pat North | |
|  | |  | |  | |  | | (please start new comments here …) | |  | |  | |  | |