| **Page** | **Section** | **Line** | **Type** | **Comment/ Rationale** | **Source of Comment (Name/Agency)** | **Suggested Disposition** | **Final Disposition****(Do Not Fill In)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 6-15 | Table 6-2 |  | ed | EPOCH\_TZERO: value in example column is not valid.  | A. Lamy / CNES |  |  |
| 6-15 | Table 6-2 |  | ge | EPOCH\_TZERO: This keyword should probably be in the metadata section (because it's related to data). + add in the description the fact that the time scale is the one specified by "TIME\_SYSTEM" keyword in the metadata section.  | A. Lamy / CNES |  |  |
| 6-16 | Table 6-3 |  | ed | COMMENT is repeated twice | A. Lamy / CNES |  |  |
| 6-16 | Table 6-3 |  | ge | The recipient of the message has to parse the value to know if a date or a relative time is given. Allowing a relative time only is probably enough and simpler.  | A. Lamy / CNES |  |  |
| 6-16 | Table 6-3 |  | te | TAIMUTC\_TZERO: I don't see why the mention "(i.e. total # leap seconds elapsed since 1958)" is necessary. It may be confusing.  | A. Lamy / CNES |  |  |
| 6-19 | Table 6-5 |  | ge | GRAVITY\_MODEL: why not simplify the syntax. For instance: EGM-96: 36 36 | A. Lamy / CNES |  |  |
| 6-19 | Table 6-5 |  | ed | GRAVITY\_MODEL: "Note that specifying a zero value for “order” (i.e. 2O) denotes zonals (J2 … JD) only"."(i.e. 2O)" should be "(i.e. 0D)" | A. Lamy / CNES |  |  |
| 6-19 | Table 6-5 |  | ed | CENTRAL\_BODY\_ROTA: a note about the reference frame relative to which the rotation rate is measured should probably be added.  | A. Lamy / CNES |  |  |
| 6-19 | Table 6-5 |  | ed | ATMOSPHERIC\_MODEL, SRP\_MODEL: in the example column, only give examples, and one value per lineNote : may concern other keywords as well | A. Lamy / CNES | Remove "e.g.", Atmospheric models, parentheses  |  |
| 6-19 | Table 6-5 |  | ge | N\_BODY\_PERTURBATIONS: Say that body names should be separated by "," (as in the example), or maybe a space ?  | A. Lamy / CNES |  |  |
| 6-20 | Table 6-5 |  | te | NUTATION\_DEPS or NUTATION\_DXHow do we know which one is given ?  | A. Lamy / CNES |  |  |
| 6-20 | Table 6-5 |  | te | POLAR\_MOTION\_XP, SOLAR\_F10P7, etc... Say that the values are given at EPOCH\_T0 (?)   | A. Lamy / CNES |  |  |
| 6-22 | 6.2.6.10 |  | te | The acceleration given in the message seems to include several aspects: thrust acceleration (propulsion), other non conservative force effects. How do we know what the acceleration represents ?  | A. Lamy / CNES |  |  |
| 6.25 | 6.2.7.10 |  | te | Does not seem clear. What are "key events" ?  | A. Lamy / CNES |  |  |
| 6-31 | 6.2.9.8 |  | te | Same as previous remark. NB: also appears in other sections.  | A. Lamy / CNES |  |  |
| 6-32 | 6.2.9.12 |  | ge | Should this be part of the standard ? Looks more like best practices.  | A. Lamy / CNES |  |  |
| 6-34 | 6.2.10.5 |  | te | "multiple representations shall appear only if they are clearly differentiated from each other by one or more precluding comment(s)"Is a comment line enough to separate data "segments" ?  | A. Lamy / CNES |  |  |
| 6-34 | 6.2.10.9 |  | ge | Same remark as in 6.2.9.12 | A. Lamy / CNES |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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