**MP&S WG Web-Ex – Minuts Of Meeting**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Meeting Date | 04/12/2018 |  | Ref | MP&S WG Web-Ex 2018\_12 MoM |
| Meeting Place | Telecon |  | Chairman | M. Sarkarati |
| Minute’s Date | 05/12/2018 |  | Participants | WG Members |
| Subject | CCSDS MPS WG Telecon 2018\_12 MoM |  | Copy | AD/Deputy AD |

**Agenda:**

* Review of Actions
* Work on the MP&S Information Model and Services
* AOB

**Review of Actions**

| **ID** | **Action** | **Actionee** | **Due Date** | **Status** | **Comment** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 170816-1 | Update and refine the UML diagram of the MP&S data model | RT |  | On-going | Update done but this is an ongoing action |
| 181204-01 | Update the TN to highlight the areas where PRM structures may need extension or amendments to cover the needs of MP&S Pointing Constraint modelling | PvP/DF | 23/12/2018 | Open |  |
| 181204-02 | Follow up with NAV (Frank Dreger/ESA) on the | MS | 23/12/2018 | Open |  |
| 181204-02 | Refine the concept of feedback and status update for   * Planning Request * Plan * Activities * Event * Resources   Where do the operations go for getting this feedback | RT | 08/01/2019 | Open |  |
| 181204-02 | Formulate the “user need” for having an attribute version in the entities of the data model (what is the use cases we want to solve by having a version?)  Compare how the user need is addressed by the two cases:   1. Having a version attribute 2. No version attribute but the use case is covered by the COM model | RT | 08/01/2019 | Open |  |

Actions were reviewed and status updated.

**Work on the MP&S Information Mode**

Comments from CGI were reviewed.

The questions regarding the “version” attribute were discussed:

Is the attribute version is needed or is the need covered by adopting the COM pattern

If version is kept shall it be a String or a composition with two fields (major/Minor)

No conclusion was reached. See action 181204-02.

RT presented the analysis of if and how the Event objects from CS group could be reused (they are also used by NAV). The conclusion was the structure can be used in MP&S WG for referencing to external events. However, we will keep our event definition as it has additional aspects (event groups, evolution of event definition, etc.) also the data types of the attributes are different (MAL data types).

**AOB**

Next web-ex meeting scheduled on 08 Jan 2019 at 14:00-16:00 CET.