|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **RcfTsProvider**  | **Creator = CSTSWG (why different from RafTsProvider?** | **Editor = Wolfgang** | **Reviewer = ??** |
| **Status: 191104** |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Issue Short Title** | **Issue Description/Discussion** | **Source** | **Status** | **O/C** |
| mislabeled config parameters | All configuration parameters are mislabeled as Configured: false**191002 WH – It occurs to me that in the 191002 version of the draft registry the labeling as config parameter (or not) is correct now and therefore I suggest to close this item.** | JP |  | **C** |
| network and ISP-1 parameters? | To complete the full communication profile, IP addresses, port , and ISP-1 parameters must be configured. Should these be added to the FR config parameters? If not here, how should this be addressed (e.g., as a separate, protocol-specific "connection" FR type)?**191002 WH – See the related response in the F-CLTU Service Provider FR Errata Sheet.****191024 JP – We agreed that network, ISP-1, and transfer-service identification information (initiatorId, responderId, and responderPortId) would be maintained via some “other” mechanism and not be accessible via the FRs. However, the serviceInstanceId parameter is a configured parameter of the FR instance, and services the “key” into the “other” database that contains the aforementioned network, ISP-1, and transfer-service identification information. This concept needs to be addressed in the FR Reference Model MB.** | JP | CLOSED - 191024 | C |
| **ServiceInstanceIdentifier parameter should be configured** | **ServiceInstanceIdentifier should be a configured parameter but it is currently listed as not configured.**  | **JP-191104** |  | **O** |