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PAGE NUMBER: B-1 PARAGRAPH NUMBER: ANNEX B

RID SHORT TITLE: Frequency Bands vs. exact transmitting frequencies

------------------------------------------------------------------

DESCRIPTION OF REQUESTED CHANGE: (Use From: "..." To "..." format)

The provided example in Annex B still shows exact transmitting frequencies for both TLM and TC whereas throughout the document only frequency bands (FB) are correctly stated as needed for the process.

Therefore, first the form on the SANA pages has to be changed and secondly the revised form has to be pasted into this Annex.

------------------------------------------------------------------

CATEGORY OF REQUESTED CHANGE:

 **Technical Fact \_X\_** Recommended \_\_\_ Editorial \_\_\_

NOTES:

TECHNICAL FACT: Major technical change of sufficient magnitude as to

 render the Recommendation inaccurate and unacceptable if not

 corrected. (Supporting analysis/rationale is essential.)

RECOMMENDED: Change of a nature that would, if incorporated, produce

 a marked improvement in document quality and acceptance.

EDITORIAL: Typographical or other factual error needing correction.

 (This type of change will be made without feedback to submitter.)

------------------------------------------------------------------

SUPPORTING ANALYSIS:

Exact frequencies are not needed for selecting the SCID as per clause: **3.3.4 The AR shall specify the protocol that is to be used (VN) and the uplink and downlink frequency band(s) (FB) that have been assigned.**

Agencies like ESA have concerns in having this information available on web pages.

------------------------------------------------------------------

DISPOSITION:

Accept. The SCID request form has been changed and a screen shot of the new page will be included in the published version of this document.

 REVIEW ITEM DISPOSITION (RID):

 RED BOOK RID INITIATION FORM

AGENCY RID NUMBER: GPC-02

SUBMITTING ORGANIZATION (Agency, Center):

------------------------------------------------------------------

REVIEWER'S NAME: Gian Paolo Calzolari

E-MAIL ADDRESS: Gian.Paolo.Calzolari@esa.int

------------------------------------------------------------------

DOCUMENT NUMBER: CCSDS 320.0-P-6.1 Pink Book, Issue 6.1

DOCUMENT NAME: CCSDS SCID Field Code Assignment Procedures

DATE ISSUED: November 2016

PAGE NUMBER: 3-4 PARAGRAPH NUMBER: 3.3.3

RID SHORT TITLE: Location of Request Form

------------------------------------------------------------------

DESCRIPTION OF REQUESTED CHANGE: (Use From: "..." To "..." format)

From:

**3.3.3** All Q-SCID Assignment Requests shall be submitted using the approved request form located on the SANA website at http://sanaregistry.org/r/spacecraftid/spacecraftid.html.

To:

**3.3.3** All Q-SCID Assignment Requests shall be submitted using the approved request form located on the SANA website at <http://sanaregistry.org/cgi/spacecraftid> .

------------------------------------------------------------------

CATEGORY OF REQUESTED CHANGE:

 **Technical Fact \_X\_** Recommended \_\_\_ Editorial \_\_\_

NOTES:

TECHNICAL FACT: Major technical change of sufficient magnitude as to

 render the Recommendation inaccurate and unacceptable if not

 corrected. (Supporting analysis/rationale is essential.)

RECOMMENDED: Change of a nature that would, if incorporated, produce

 a marked improvement in document quality and acceptance.

EDITORIAL: Typographical or other factual error needing correction.

 (This type of change will be made without feedback to submitter.)

------------------------------------------------------------------

SUPPORTING ANALYSIS:

At <http://sanaregistry.org/r/spacecraftid/spacecraftid.html> the list of Spacecraft Identifiers is available (together with a link to the form, indeed).

However the form is at <http://sanaregistry.org/cgi/spacecraftid> .

------------------------------------------------------------------

DISPOSITION:

Reject. The URL reference is correct as stated. The form is linked from the Spacecraft ID page and that page provides other useful information, such as the protocol version description and link to the ARs.

 REVIEW ITEM DISPOSITION (RID):

 RED BOOK RID INITIATION FORM

AGENCY RID NUMBER: GPC-03

SUBMITTING ORGANIZATION (Agency, Center):

------------------------------------------------------------------

REVIEWER'S NAME: Gian Paolo Calzolari

E-MAIL ADDRESS: Gian.Paolo.Calzolari@esa.int

------------------------------------------------------------------

DOCUMENT NUMBER: CCSDS 320.0-P-6.1 Pink Book, Issue 6.1

DOCUMENT NAME: CCSDS SCID Field Code Assignment Procedures

DATE ISSUED: November 2016

PAGE NUMBER: 3-4 PARAGRAPH NUMBER: 3.3.5

RID SHORT TITLE: Current Form vs. Future Form

------------------------------------------------------------------

DESCRIPTION OF REQUESTED CHANGE: (Use From: "..." To "..." format)

The current text says:

**3.3.5** A separate form shall be used for each Q-SCID request.

NOTE – The form supports the request for Q-SCIDs for different protocols (VN) and frequency bands (FB) for any given spacecraft and may support requests for more than one spacecraft, such as a constellation.

But the form at <http://sanaregistry.org/cgi/spacecraftid> does not support “Q-SCIDs for different protocols (VN) and frequency bands (FB)”.

Is there really a new form draft?

It would have been good to make such new form available to reviewers even if not at public URL.

------------------------------------------------------------------

CATEGORY OF REQUESTED CHANGE:

 **Technical Fact \_X\_** Recommended \_\_\_ Editorial \_\_\_

NOTES:

TECHNICAL FACT: Major technical change of sufficient magnitude as to

 render the Recommendation inaccurate and unacceptable if not

 corrected. (Supporting analysis/rationale is essential.)

RECOMMENDED: Change of a nature that would, if incorporated, produce

 a marked improvement in document quality and acceptance.

EDITORIAL: Typographical or other factual error needing correction.

 (This type of change will be made without feedback to submitter.)

------------------------------------------------------------------

SUPPORTING ANALYSIS:

The form at <http://sanaregistry.org/cgi/spacecraftid> does not support “Q-SCIDs for different protocols (VN) and frequency bands (FB)”.

------------------------------------------------------------------

DISPOSITION:

Accept, no change. The new form is now available on the website and it does support all of the required features. Concur that it would have been useful for reviewers to have had early access to this updated web page.

 REVIEW ITEM DISPOSITION (RID):

 RED BOOK RID INITIATION FORM

AGENCY RID NUMBER: GPC-04

SUBMITTING ORGANIZATION (Agency, Center):

------------------------------------------------------------------

REVIEWER'S NAME: Gian Paolo Calzolari

E-MAIL ADDRESS: Gian.Paolo.Calzolari@esa.int

------------------------------------------------------------------

DOCUMENT NUMBER: CCSDS 320.0-P-6.1 Pink Book, Issue 6.1

DOCUMENT NAME: CCSDS SCID Field Code Assignment Procedures

DATE ISSUED: November 2016

PAGE NUMBER: 3-4 PARAGRAPH NUMBER: 3.3.3 and 3.3.6

RID SHORT TITLE: Duplicated clauses?

------------------------------------------------------------------

DESCRIPTION OF REQUESTED CHANGE: (Use From: "..." To "..." format)

The current text says:

**3.3.3** All Q-SCID Assignment Requests shall be submitted using the approved request form located on the SANA website at http://sanaregistry.org/r/spacecraftid/spacecraftid.html.

**3.3.6** All Q-SCID Assignment Requests shall be submitted to the SANA using the on-line web form.

Reduce to a single clause or show better the differences between to two clauses.

Position of the clauses may also be rearranged to get them in a different sequence to better highlight their connection and/or differences.

------------------------------------------------------------------

CATEGORY OF REQUESTED CHANGE:

 Technical Fact \_\_ **Recommended \_X\_** Editorial \_\_\_

NOTES:

TECHNICAL FACT: Major technical change of sufficient magnitude as to

 render the Recommendation inaccurate and unacceptable if not

 corrected. (Supporting analysis/rationale is essential.)

RECOMMENDED: Change of a nature that would, if incorporated, produce

 a marked improvement in document quality and acceptance.

EDITORIAL: Typographical or other factual error needing correction.

 (This type of change will be made without feedback to submitter.)

------------------------------------------------------------------

SUPPORTING ANALYSIS:

They look as duplicated requirements.

------------------------------------------------------------------

DISPOSITION:

Accept. Change 3.3.3 from:

**3.3.3** All Q-SCID Assignment Requests shall be submitted using the approved request form located on the SANA website at http://sanaregistry.org/r/spacecraftid/spacecraftid.html.

To:

**3.3.3** All Q-SCID Assignment Requests shall be submitted on-line using the approved request form located on the SANA website at http://sanaregistry.org/r/spacecraftid/spacecraftid.html.

Remove clause 3.3.6, it is now completely redundant.